



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,898	10/18/2002	David C. Schwartz	960296.99047	4216

72088 7590 08/27/2007
WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH FOUNDATION
C/O BOYLE FREDRICKSON S.C
840 North Plankinton Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53203

EXAMINER

MUMMERT, STEPHANIE KANE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1637

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/27/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/713,898	Applicant(s) SCHWARTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephanie K. Mummert, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:

(1) Stephanie K. Mummert, Ph.D.

(2) Bennet Berson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Brian Cholewa.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

9) Kenneth R. Horlick 8/23/07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initially contacted Mr. Berson on the 21st to propose an Examiner's Amendment to claim 21 to put the application in condition for allowance. The amendment would incorporate a limitation of 'with a planar surface' to step b) to read, "ia microchannel having a first wall with a planar surface electrostatically attractive to the polymeric molecule".

Mr. Berson indicated that he needed to review the file and attempt to contact Applicant before accepting the amendment to the claims.

On the 22nd, Mr. Cholewa (substituting for Mr. Berson who was out of the office), indicated that Applicant would like an office action prior to making a decision regarding making this amendment to the claims. The non-final office action has been completed and sent to Applicant.